INFORMAL ATTEMPT TO RESULVE

PROGRAM STATEMENT 1330.7, "ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY PROCEDURES FOR INMATES" ST THAT INMATES SHALL INFORMALLY PRESENT THEIR COMPLAINTS TO STAFF AND STAFF SHALL ATTEMPT TO INFORMALLY RESOLVE ANY ISSUE BEFORE ANY INMATE FILES A "REQUEST ADMINISTRATIVE MEMEDY".

ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY (BP-09'S) WILL NOT BE ISSUED ON A RANDOM BASIS. FOR ACCOUNTATY PURPOSES; EACH BP-08 AND BP-09 WILL BE LOGGED AND ASSIGNED A CONTROL NUMBER.

THON IS INTENDED TO MINIMIZE TIME AND EFFORT ON THE PAR

THIS P BOTH	REFERRED (STAFF AND	MATES AND TO MAINTAIN THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROGRAM.
WILL TEMPT FORW	ATTEMPT IN BY THE CO	TING A "REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY", THE INMATE AND COUNS FORMAL RESOLUTION BY ANSWERING THE QUESTIONS BELOW. AFTER AN UNSELOR TO INFORMALLY RESOLVE THE ISSUE, A COPY OF THE BP-08 WILLIAM UNIT MANAGER NOTING IF THE COMPLAINT WAS INFORMALLY RESOLVE WAS ISSUED.
1 T	NMATES NA	THOMAS E. SILVERSTEIN
2. I	NMATES RE	CISTER NUMBER: 14634-116
3. (GIVE A BRIE	STATEMENT OF THE COMPLAINT ISSUED: APPEAL BEING TOLE
INM	14 LAST	REVIEW THAT I'M STILL BEING HELD IN SO
		USE OF MY "PAST HISTORY, WHEN I'VE RE-
		DENT FREE SINCE 1983
4. S	TATE ALL	FFORTS MADE BY YOU TO RESOLVE THIS ISSUE: (INCLUDE NAMES OF S) NTACTED AND HOW THEY WERE CONTACTED.)
· · · · · · ·		# 39514
_		
		(INMATE'S SIGNATURE)
5. C	COUNSELOR	S COMMENTS: (INCLUDE EFFORTS MADE TO INFORMALLY RESOLVE THIS ISSU
A.	s stated in he present	a previous informal resolution response, the Unit Team is not, at time, recommending your release from Special Cell status which was

authorized by the Director, Bureau of Prisons. Your most recent record of clear conduct is the in part, to your isolation from other inmates and staff. However, your cooperative effort towards staff is to be commended and will be required in the future to warrant consideration for a change in your housing status.

The way	A THE SECOND CONTRACTOR			
DATE RECE	VED INFORMAL:			Defferson CMC
DATE RETU	RNED TO INMATE:			CORRECTIONAL COUNS BP-09 ISSUED: YES _
17	ATTIV DECOLVED.	YES	NO	BP-09 NUMBER:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Federal Bureau of Prisons

REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

T	76		11::1:	ALCOHOLOGICAL CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF TH
		needed, submit four copies. A		
From: SILVERSTEIN		#14634-116	3 AU	LVN
Part A- INMATE REQUEST		REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION
Part A- INMATE REQUEST SOLATION FROM OTHER I'D LIKE TO REMIND THE NOT WHAT THE GOVERN T 3- TIMES DAYLY 7-DAY F CAN PROVE SAID ASSE	READER THAT TH	I REALIZE BOP EN	JOYS A DICTATOR	SHIP LIKE NO OF
NOT WHAT THE GOVERN	AWEEK SO B.P.E	ES WILL BE DONE. I S STATEMENT IS MI	SLEADING. IF GI	VEN THE OPER
ECAN PROVE SAID ASSE	MPTION WRONG	BY GRANTING ME A	30 DAY PENALI	PREE WAUK
EN IF IT BELIEVES THIS	PERSONALIZED	MULTI- MILLION DO	LOR CEMENT TO	ME IS PROVE
FROM CAUSING TROUG	E. I KNOW AUTHORI	TARIAN PRISON ADN OTHER FRUITFUL ME	ANS NECESSAR	TO DEAL WITH
T CAN PROVE SAID ASSE AISE AS MUCH HELL WIT EN IF IT BELIEVES THIS FROM CAUSING TROUG KNOW HOW & COMPASSION POBLEMS, OTHER THAN LOW ES. AND THAT MY CONDITION FWORST "# ALL THAT OTHER	* PEOPLE UPEVEN	TIGHTER THAN BEFO DUP AS AN EXAMPLE O	PRE-CAUS HU MOR OF HOW TO CONTROL	E PROBLEMS TH L THE SO CALLED"
ES. AND THAT MY CONDITION EWORST" & ALL THAT OTHER	BOP NON- SENSE.	BUT, ALL BOP IS DOING	WHEATHER PLAN	ED OR ADMITTED
IS PUNISHING ME WITH TO	AS FAR AS MY "COO	PERATIVE EFFECT TO	WARDS STAFF," I	LLUSTRATES MY
ES. AND THAT MY CONDITION EWORST" & ALL THAT OTHER IS PUNISHING ME WITH TO MAY THE BONE OTHERWISE TLY HOWEVER STATING A RANT CONSIDERATION FOR	A CHANGE IN MY HE	ENATIVE EFFECT NU SUSING STATUS IS TOO	VAGUE . AGAIN, I	D LIKE TO REMI
ADER-THIS IS STILL AME	VCA. ALTHO BOPACT	SLIKE M. N'SNOTAL	AW ON TO IT SELF M	THERE IT CAN M
LY DESERTELING. WHICH	IS WHAT IS WAPP	ENING WITH AND E	FINITE ISOCATION	AT THIS GOVER
TOUE COFFIELE TO	TO THE I ENICYCLASE	TIME TIME	- Annilor Acc	LUIT IN TOTAL
CIVITOSI MODINER PRIN	WINESS ABE MINTA	FIFT OF THE		MANT TO DO RE
INARYSER SINGE	CINARY SEE. 2	ALSO NOTE THAT I'N	1 BEING PUNISH	ED WORST TH
INISOLATION OCCURRE	D 10 YEARS AGO	- THANK U FOR YOU	RTIME + CON.	SIDERATION!
12/11/02	- Contraction -		E. Octve	
DATE		1 rown ces	SIGNATURE OF REQU	
Part B- RESPONSE				
1				
	100			

	,			
13, 7 = 1				
DATE	1 3 3 3 1	*1	ARDEN OR REGIONAL D	
If dissatisfied with this response, you may app	pul to the Regional Director. Your	appeal must be received in the Region	cal Office within 20 calendar do CASE NUMBER:	rys of the date of this respons
SECOND COPY: RETURN TO IN	Man land God of the second			
SECOND COPY: RETURNED IN	CO SW GOOD HAVE		CARE MUNICIPAL CO	9514-51
	EC. 10 1992		CASE NUMBER:	9514-51
Part C- RECEIPT	EC. 10 1992		CASE NUMBER:	9514-F1
	EC. 10 1992	REG. NO.	CASE NUMBER:	9514-F

PART B - RESPONSE TO ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY, 39514-F1:

SILVERSTEIN, Thomas Reg. No. 14634-116

This is in response to your Request for Administrative Remedy, receipted on December 10, 1992, in which you request to be notified of the specific amount of time you are to be held, as you claim, against your will in "isolation".

My investigation into this matter reveals you are currently confined in Special Cell status as authorized by the Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons. Contrary to your assertion, you are not similar to inmates confined on Disciplinary Segregation status in that you should have a determined length of time in Special Cell status. This is not consistent with current BOP policy as you are not being subject to restricted privileges consistent with Disciplinary Segregation. You are being maintained in Special Cell status to provide for security and safety needs for which you have a demonstrated need. Your status is regularly reviewed by your Unit Team and institution Executive Staff to ensure your needs are being met. Additionally, monthly reports are submitted to the Regional Office concerning your status. The region compiles these reports quarterly for submission to the Central Office for their review.

At the present time, you are appropriately housed in Special Cell status for your safety as well as the safety and security of staff and other inmates. You will not be released from this status until staff believe the threat to your safety and the safety of others can be adequately met in some other correctional setting. Presently, it is not possible to determine a specific length of time for this to occur.

Accordingly, your Request for Administrative Remedy is denied.

12-27-92

Date

G. L. Henman, Warden

REGIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY APPEAL

CASE NUMBER: 39 14-R1

B.P.10

From: SILVERSTEIN, THOMAS, E.

REG.NO.: 14634-116

UNIT: SHU

INSTITUTION: LVN

Part A - REASON FOR APPEAL

IN RESPONSE TO B.P. . PART B'S ASSERTION THAT I'M NOT SIMILAR TO PEOPLE CONFINED ON D.S. STATUS, IN THAT I SHOULD HAVE A -determined lend中 of time in special cell status + that this is NOT CONSISTENT WITH D.S." IT'S A BIT CONFUSING - BUT NOT SURPRISING - WHINEVER I REQUEST PRIVILEGES GRANTED TO GENRAL POPULATION I'M TOLD IT'S NOT ALLOWED SINCE I'M ON SHU STATUS. THEN WHEN I ASK FOR CERTAIN THINGS GIVEN THOSE IN SHU - I'M TOLD THAT POLICY DOESN'T APPLY TO ME EITHER. I ASSUME THIS IS THE REASON POLICIES WERE CREATED FROM THE GET GO IN PRISON IN ORDER TO PREVENT THIS TYPE OF ARBITRARY BEHAVIOR. WHERE THE RULES APPLY TO A CHOUSEN FEW BUT NOT EVERYONE. IT APPEARS B.O.P. IS PRACTICING SAIT "CAPRICIOUSNESS" BY MAKING UP POLICY THAT APPLIES TO ME ALONE WH##EEVER IT DESIRES - IN ORDER TO JUSTIFY IT'S DENIALS. SO MAY I ASK WHAT ARE B.O.P. POLICIES FOR WHEN ITS OBVIOUS B.O.P. MAY EXCLUDE + INCLUDE ANYONE THEY WANT & I CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO B.O.P. PART A WHERE I MENTIONED THAT I'M ALSO DENIED MANY OF THE SWAME MAINLINE PRIVILEGES AS D.S. PRISONERS. IT'S RATHER AB\$URD TO SAY I'M NOT, SINCE B.O.P. SHOULD BE AWARE OF WHAT THEY DELY ME + ALLOW OTHERS. THE FOLLOWING IS A COMPARISON LIST OF MY RESTRICTIONS - VS - D.S. JUST TO NAME A FEW. SINCE B. P. CHOOSES TO IGNORE THEM EVEN AFTER I'VE BROUGHT IT TO THEIR ATTENTION IN NUMEROUS ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY APPEALS -SUCH AS (1) 5-6-88, 0039-88 - 24 HOUR SURVEILLANCE (2) 3-17-88, COPIES MAIL, (3) 1-5-88 0043-88 ATTORNEY VISITS 009300 - PHOTO CONDUCTED OVER #APE RECORDED PHONE + THRU GLASS + BARS, WHEN D.S. PRISONERS ARE #\$CORTED TO THE MAINLINE VISITING ROOM. EXCEPT FOR PROTECTIVE CUS#DDY CASES WHO ARE TOO SCARED TO BE WITH OTHERS (4) 12-28-90, 5675 DENIAL OF SHOWER CURTAIN (5) INABILITY TO MAKE OVERSEAS PHONE | CALL 10-25-90, 5677, (6) 5-28-89, 0183-89 NO VISITORS FOR 1 | YEARS EXCEPT "1". (7) 0514-88, NOT ALLOWING PRISONERS, WHO ARE ALLOWED CONTACT VISITS.

(PAGE TWO)

D.S. PRISONERS ARE ALLOWED 24 HOURS A MONTH VISITATION WHERE THEY CAN SPEND 8 HOURS PER VISIT. WHERE AS ACCORDING TO THE POLICY SOMEBODY ARBITRARILY THRU TOGETHER FOR ME SAYS I'M ONLY ALLOWED 12 HOURS OF SOCIAL VISITS PER MONTH. AND WILL BE OF ONE HOUR DURATION, EVEN THO I'M FROM CALIFORNIA + MY VISITORS HAVE TO TRAVEL 2,000 MLLES! ETC. D.S. PRISONERS HAVE CELL PARTNERS + ABLE TO REC. TOGETHER BUT I'M NOT. ETC. ETC. THE 5270.7 B.O.P. POLICY STATES IN PART (b. THE WARDEN MAY TEMPORARILY (NOT EXCEEDING FIVE DAYS) MOVE AN INMATE TO A MORE SECURE CELL (WHICH MAY BE IN AN AREA ORDINARILY SET ASIDE FOR DISCIPLINARY SEG + WHICH THEREFORE REQUIRES THE WITHDRAWAL OF PRIVILEGES ORDINARILY

(95)

AFFORDED IN A.D STATUS. UNTIL A HEARING BY THE D.H.O. CAN BE HELD) WHO (1) IS CAUSING A SERIOUS DISRUPTION THREATENING LIFE, SERIOUS BODILY HARM, OR PROPERTY) IN A.D. (2) CANNOT BE CONTROLLED WITHIN THE PHYSICAL CONFINES OF A.D. "ETC. NONE OF THE ABOVE APPLIES TO ME, YET I'VE BEEN HELD IN A "MORE SECURE CELL" MUCH LONGER THAN FIVE DAYS! AND WITHOUT A "D.H.O." (DISCIPLINARY HEARING OFFICER) SINCE I'VE NOT VIOLATED ANY RULES.

(C. THE SEG. REVIEW OFFICIAL (SRO)(SEE 541.16(d) SHALL CONDUCT A HEARING AND FORMALLY REVIEW THE STATUS OF EACH INMATE WHO SPENDS SEVEN CONTINUOUS DAYS IN D.S. + THEREFORE SHALL REVIEW THESE CASES ON THE RECORD IN THE INMATES ABSENSE EACH WEEK + SHALL CONDUCT A HEARING + FORMALLY REVIEW THESE CASES AT LEAST EVERY 30 DAYS THE INMATE APPEARS BEFORE THE SRO AT THE 30-DAY HEARINGS UNLESS THE INMATE WAIVES THE RIGHT TO APPEAR. ETC. THE ASSESMENT, SUBMITTED TO THE SRO IN A WRITTEN REPORT SHALL ADDRESS THE INMATES ADJUSTMENT TO SURROUNDINGS + THE THREAT THE INMATE POSES TO SELF, STAFF + OTHER INMATES. STAFF SHALL CONDUCT A SIMILAR PSYCH ATRIC OR PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT + REPORT AT SUBSEQUENT ONE MONTH INTERVALS IF SEGREGATION CONTINUES FOR THIS EXTENDED PERIOD

BEFORE 1992 I WAS GIVEN NO SUCH HEARING SINCE 1983. + NOW THE HEARINGS I GET IS EVERY SIX MONTHS + IN ALL THE REPORTS I'VE BEEN "COOPERATIVE" TOWARDS STAFF. MEANING I HAVEN'T GIVEN ANYONE A BAD TIME. SO IF ALL MY REPORTS ARE GOOD WHAT JUSTIFICATION DOES THIS ADMINISTRATION HAVE TO KEEP ME IN S.C. WHEN THE SAME CLEAN CONDUCT WOULD BE REWARDED WITH MORE S.C. TIME IF I WERE IN D.S.?

(d. THE SEG REVIEW OFFICIAL MAY RELEASE AN INMATE FROM DISCIPLINARY SEG. EARLIER THAN THE SANCTION INITIALLY IMPOSES UPON FINDING THAT CONTINUATION IN D.S. IS NO LONGER NECESSARY TO REGULATE THE INMATES BEHAVIOR WITHIN ACCEPTABLE LIMITS OR FOR FULFILLING THE FURPOSES OF PUNISHMENT + DETERRENCE WHICH INITIALLY RESULTED IN THE INMATES PLACEMENT IN D.S. STATUS. THE SRO MAY NOT INCREAGESE ANY PREVIOUSLY IMPOSED SANCTION."

BOP HASN'T THOUGHT TWICE ABOUT NOT INCREASING MY STAY IN S.C. YEAR IN + YEAR OUT. BUT THEN I WAS NEVER GIVEN A "SANCTION." ALL I WANT TO KNOW IS WHAT CRITERION DOES THE REVIEW OFFICIAL USE TO RELEASE D.S. PHISONERS EARLIER THAT I'VE NOT DONE WITH A CLEAN CONDUCT RECORD | ON PAGE 3 OF THE SAME POLICY IT STATES. "b. THE WARDEN SHALL MAINTAIN FOR EACH SEG INMATE BASIC LIVING LEVELS OF DECENCY + HUMAN TREATMENT REGARDLESS OF THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH THE INMATE HAS BEEN SEGREGATED. LIVING CONDITIONS MAY NOT BE MODIFIED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REINFORCING ACCEPTABLE BEHAVIOR + DIFFERENT LEVELS OF LIVING ARRANGEMENTSS WILL NOT BE ESTABLISHED. ETC." KEEPING SOMEONE IN TOTAL SOLITARY CONFINEMENT FOR 10 YEARS UNDER EXTREME HISTRICTIONS AS MINE CAN HARDLY BE CALLED "DECENT" OR "human". ESPECIALLY GIVEN MY INCIDENT FREE RECORD OVER A LONG PERIOD OF TIME! FROM THE SPECIAL CELL MADE TO HOLD ME IN, IT APPEARS B.O.P. ONCE AGAIN VIOLATED ITS OWN POLICY FOR THE PURPOSE OF REINFORCING CCEPTABLE BEHAVIOR. ETC. BUT SINCE WHEN DOES THE POWERS THAT BE DEEY THEIR OWN POLICIES WHEN ITS NOT IN THEIR BEST INTEREST TO DO 50?

3



PAGE 7 OF THE SAME POLICY, REVEALS THE EXCESSIVE AMOUNT OF TIME I'VE BEEN ISOLATED. (c. IN SECURITY LEVEL 6 INSTITUTIONS, STAFF WILL ATTEMPT TO ADHERE TO THE 90-DAY LIMIT FOR AN INMATES PLACEMENT IN POST-DISCIPLINARY DETENTION, ETC. (d) THE APPROPRIATE REGIONAL DIRECTOR + THE ASSISTANT DIR. CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS DIVISION, SHALL REVIEW FOR PURPOSE OF MAKING A DISPOSITION, THE CASE OF AN INMATE IN A SECURITY LEVEL 6 INSTITUTION NOT TARANSFERRED FROM POST-DISCIPLINARY DETENTION WITH THE 90-DAY TIME FRAME SPECIFIED IN PARAGRAPH (c) OF THIS SECTION. A SIMILAR SUBSEQUENT REVIEW SHALL BE CONDUCTED EVERY 60-90 DAYS IF POST-DISCIPLINARY DETENTION CONTINUES FOR THIS EXTENDED PERIOD. "

I FIND IT QUITE TELLING HOW POLICY REFERS TO 5-DAYS HERE, + 7 DAYS THERE + 90 DAYS MAX. ON AN SERIOUS INDIVIDUAL BEEF SUCH AS MURDER . . . YET, B.O.P. HAS KEPT ME IN S.C. 10 YEARS WHICH IS 8 TIMES LONGER THAN PRISONERS WERE SERVING FOR MURDER WHEN SENT TO THE CONTROL UNIT AT MARION, IL.

THE POLICY ON PAGE 8 STATES "ADMINISTRATIVE DETENTION IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR SHORT PERIODS OF TIME EXCEPT WHERE AN INMATE NEEDS LONG-TERM PROTECTION (SEE 541.23)."

REGARDLESS WHICH STATUS B.O.P. USES, A.D. OR D.S. THEY BOTH GIVE SPECIFIC TIME LIMITS A PRISONER SHOULD BE SUBJECTED TO EXTREME CONFINEMENT + SINCE I'M NOT A PROTECTIVE CASE + DO NOT WISH TO BE LOCKED UP FOR SAFE KEEPING, I'D LIKE TO KNOW WHICH POLICY B.O.P. IS USING TO CONTINUE TO VIOLATE ALL OTHER POLICIES?

THE POLICY STATES ON PAGE 10. (b. INMATES WHO ARE PLACED IN ADMINISTRATIVE DETENTION FOR PROTECTION, BUT NOT AT THEIR OWN REQUEST OR BEYOND THE TIME WHEN THEY NEED TO BE DETAINED FOR THEIR OWN PROTECTION, ARE ENTITLED TO A HEARING NO LATER THAN 7 DAYS FROM THE TIME OF THEIR ADMISSION (OR FROM THE TIME OF THEIR DETENTION BEYOND THEIR OWN CONSENT). THIS HEARING IS CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS OF 547.17, AS TO ADVANCE WRITTEN NOTICE, STAFF REPRESENTATION, RIGHT TO MAKE A STATEMENT + PRESENT DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE TO REQUEST WITNESSES TO BE PRESENT THROUGHOUT THE HEARING + ADVANCE ADVISEMENT OF INMATE RIGHTS AT THE HEARING, + AS TO MAKING A RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS.)"

IT GOES ON TO SAY IN PART "THE WARDEN OR DESIGNEE (ORDINARILY THE CAPTAIN) SHALL REVIEW THE PLACEMENT WITHIN TWO WORK DAYS OF THE PLACEMENT TO DETERMINE IF CONTINUED P.C. IS NECESSARY. IF CONTINUED PLACEMENT IS WARRANTED, THE FORMAL HEARING DESCRIBED ABOVE IS TO OCCUR WITHIN 7 DAYS OF THE INMATE'S PLACEMENT."

"(d. WHERE APPROPRIATE, STAFF SHALL FIRST ATTEMPT TO PLACE THE INMATE IN THE GENERAL POPULATION OF THEIR PARTICULAR FACILITY. WHERE INAPPROPRIATE, STAFF SHALL CLEARLY DOCUMENT THE REASON(S) + REFER THE CASE. WITH ALL RELEVANT MATERIAL, TO THEIR REGIONAL DIRECTOR, WHO, UPON REVIEW OF THE MATERIAL, MAY ORDER THE TRANSFER OF A F C.)"

6

(95)

SINCE B.P.O.'S RESPONSE HAD MENTIONED THEIR SAFETY CONCERNS FOR ME, I THOT I HAD BEST THROW THIS IN JUST IN CASE THEY TRY USING THIS PLOY TO KEEP ME IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT + AWAY FROM OTHERS FOR THE RECORD THO - I REQUEST A HEARING ACCORDING TO POLICY FOR B.O.P. TO PRESENT EVIDENCE AS TO WHY + OR WHAT GROUNDS THEY STATED I'M "APPROPRIATELY HOUSED IN SPECIAL CELL STATUS FOR YOUR SAFETY AS WELL AS THE SAFETY + SECURITY OF STAFF + OTHER INMATES." I NOT ONLY REQUEST TO KNOW WHO SAID THIS BUT IF THEY CAN'T PROVIDE EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE THEIR CLAIMS, THAT THEY CEASE MAKING SUCH THINGS UP IN AN ATTEMPT TO JUSTIFY KEEPING ME IN S.C. THANK U

CONTRARY TO B.P. . STATEMENT THAT I'M BEING MAINTAINED IN SPECIAL CELL STATUS TO PROVIDE FOR SECURITY + SSAFETY NEEDS FOR WHICH I HAVE A "DEMONSTRATED NEED" MY LONG INCIDENT FREE INSTITUTION RECORD PROVES INVE "DEMONSTRATED" THE OPPOSITE. WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, I REALIZE THIS READER, LIKE MOST B.O.P. ADMINISTRATORS, PROBABLY HAS HAD LITTLE OR NO ACTUAL INTERATION WITH ME OR OTHER PRISONS + PRISONERS TO EVEN KNOW THE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT CAUSED THE INCIDENTS I VE BEEN INVOLVED IN OVER 10 YEARS AGO + FIND IT IRONIC THAT THESE SAME ADMINISTRATORS ARE THE ONE'S WHO HOLD MY FATE IN THEIR HANDS, INSTEAD OF ADDRESSING WHERE I'M AT MENTALLY, EMOTIONALLY + PHYSICALLY IN 1993, SAID "ADMINISTRATORS" CONTINUE TO REACH BACK 10 YEARS - RELIEING ON PAST CONFLICTS THAT NO LONGER EXIST TODAY. SINCE NO ONE HAS TALKED TO ME REGARDING THE INCIDENTS I'VE HAD IN THE PAST + SINCE ADMINISTRATORS STAY IN THEIR OFFICE + HAVE LITTLE INSIGHT INTO THE REALITIES THAT EXIST IN PRISON, I ASSUME THEY'RE ACCESSIBLE TO THE SAME NEGITIVE IMAGES PORTRABLE BY HOLLYWOOD CHARACTERIZATION OF PRISONERS. THE SAME AS NAIVE OUTSIDERS OFTEN ARE. SO SINCE THIS READER DOES NOT KNOW ME, + SINCE I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT TYPE OF MONSTEROUS IMAGE B.O.P. HAS OF ME TO ASSUME DUE TO PAST CONFLICTS WITH CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS THAT I'M SOME BLOOD THIRSTY MANIAC WHO WILL JUST ATTACK PEOPLE INDISCRIMINATELY. BUT I'M HERE TO ASSURE THIS READER + ANYONE ELSE WHO WILL LISTEN THAT IT'S NOT MY INTENTION TO HARM ANYONE. H WOULD APPRECIATE THIS READER TO TELL ME WHAT EVIDENCE B.O.P. | HAS TO SAY I'VE "DEMONSTRATED NEED" TO MAINTAIN SPECIAL CELL STATUS WHEN I'VE NOT HAD ANY SERIOUS INCIDENTS SINCE 1983! + WHY AM I BEING HELD IN TOTAL SOLITARY CONFINEMENT? HAS B.O.P'S IMAGINATION RUN SO WILD THAT THEY ASSUME I'M SO TERRORIZING THAM I POSSESS THE POWER TO ATTACK PEOPLE WITH MY EYE BALLS, EARS + MOUTH IF I'M PLACED WITHIN EARSHOT + SIGHT OF OTHER PRISONERS?

B.O.P. STATES MY STATUS IS REGULARILY REVIEWED BY MY UNIT TEAM, ETC. MY QUESTION IS WHAT DOES HAVING THEM ENSURE MY NEEDS ARE BEING MET (WHICH CONSISTS OF ASKING ME IF I GET REC. 5 HR'S A WEEK, IF I SEE THE DOC DAILY + FEED DAILY) HAS TO DO WITH A MEANINGFUL REVIEW REGARDING A CHANGE OF MY CURRENT STATUS?

B.O.P. STATES, U WILL NOT BE RELEASED FROM THIS STATUS UNTIL STAFF BELIEVE THE THREAT TO YOUR SAFETY + THE SAFETY OF OTHERS CAN BE ADEQUATELY MET IN SOME OTHER CORRECTIONAL SETTING." AGAIN I RESENT THE PLOY BEING USED - AS TO THE SO-CALLED "THREAT" TO MY

0

(95)

SAFETY. SINCE TOO NOT HAVE ANY PROBLEMS WITH ANYONE. THE ONLY PROBLEMS I HAVE IS BEING HELD IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT FOR 10 YEARS. FOR FICTITIOUS REASONS, OTHER THAN THAT, I'D LIKE THIS READER TO TELL ME EXACTLY WHO SAID THEY FEAR MY PRESENCE? + WHAT EXACTLY WILL CONVINCE STAFF THAT "THREATS" TO MY SAAFETY + OR OTHERS CAN BE ADEQUATELY MET IN SOME OTHER CORRECTIONAL SETTING?

B.P.9 STATES: "PRESENTLY, IT'S NOT POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE A SPECIFIC LENGTH FOR THIS TO OCCUR." MY POINT EXACTLY. WHICH ILLUSTRATES THE ARBITRARY NATURE OF THIS WHOLE BIASED PROCESS. I SAY "BIASED" FOR THE SIMPLE FACT WHEN PRISONERS KILL EACH OTHER, WE'RE GIVEN A "SPECIFIC" AMOUNT OF LOCK UP TIME TO SERVE BEFORE LET OUT. I'VE SERVED MORE TIME IN S.C. THAN MOST SERVE IN PRISON FOR MURDER + 8-TIMES THE AMOUNT GIVEN PRISONERS FOR MURDER - SQ WHY THIS?

AS FAR AS THE "MONTHLY REPORTS" FILED TO THE REGION. AGAIN, THESE REPORTS ARE JUST REPETITIVE OF MORE OF THE SAME. AFTER 10 YEARS IT'S NOT TOO MUCH TO ASK THAT A LITTLE MORE INITIATIVE + THOT BE GIVEN TO MY SITUATION + RECORD FOR THE PAST 10 YEARS THAN WHAT THESE REPORTS OF VIOUSLY REVEAL. WHICH IS ABSOLUTELY NOTHING, EXCEPT THAT BE MONTH AFTER MONTH, YEAR AFTER YEAR AFTE

IT'S LUDICROUS TO LOCK ME UP FOR 10 YEARS IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT + THEN CLAIM IT'S FOR MY OWN SAFETY. I SUPPOSE IT'S AS GOOD A PLOY AS ANY TO DISQUISE THE RETALITORY NATURE OF KEEPING ME UNDER SUCH EXTREME CONDITIONS + PERHAPS HELP EASE THE CONSCIOUS OF THOSE CONTRIBUTING TO THIS CRUELTY BY PRETENDING IT'S FOR MY OWN GOOD. BUT SINCE I NEVER ASKED TO BE PROTECTED + HAVEN'T THE SLIGHTEST IDEA WHO WOULD WANT TO HURT ME + HAVE NOT THREATENED ANYONE, I REEQUEST B.O.P. PUT THESE PHANTOMS TO REST ONCE + FOR ALL.

I ALSO REQUEST EACH + EVERY QUESTION ENCLOSED BY ANSWERED + FURTHER CONSIDERATION BE GIVEN REGARDING MY RELEASED FROM SOLITARY CONFINEMENT.

THANK U!

(SIGNED) THOMAS E. SILVERSTEIN (DATED) 1-1-93

2

Part B - Response to Administrative Remedy Appeal - Index #39514-R1

We are in receipt of your regional appeal of the Warden's response provided you on December 27, 1992, wherein you allege that you are being held in special cell status against your will. In addition, you allege that you are not a threat to staff or inmates and your safety would not be jeopardy if released to general population.

Our review of your appeal, the Warden's response, and continued monitoring of your status revealed, your presence within the general population would pose a serious threat to life, property, self, staff or other inmates, or the secure and orderly running of the institution. This is a non-punitive status in which restricted conditions of confinement are required only to ensure the safety of inmates or others, the protection of property, or the security or orderly running of the institution.

Your case will continue to be carefully monitored periodically by institution, regional and Central Office staff, at which time the conditions of your confinement will be evaluated. Member of the BOP Executive staff will continue to review your case annually and determine whether any change in your housing is to occur.

Based on our review, we must affirm the Warden's response as we can find no need for further relief. Therefore, your regional appeal is denied.

FEB 08 112

Date

Calvin R. Edwards, Regional Director

U.S. Department of Justice

Bureau of Prisons

IIII.	50	BU	W	E	17.	
				ļ	7	Ce
	1/875	3	- 1	5	,	

entral Office Administrative Remedy Appeal

Bureau of Prisons		7	7	
Type or use ball-point pen. If a ments must be submitted with the		nit four copies. One copy each of the c	completed BP-DIR-9 and BP-	-DIR-10, including any attach-
From: SILVERSTE	- 111	14634-116	SHU	LVN
	FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL	REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION
Part A-REASON FOR AP	PEAL A PIO STATE	SI "ALLEGE "THAT I'M SFACT. B. P. 10 STATES IN	BEING HELD IN SP	ECIALCELL AGAINS LEGETHAT YOU ARE
OTATHREAT TO STA	FF ON WMATES	YOUR SAFETY WOULD	NOT BE JEUPAR	DY IF RELEASED
P" IT'S MORETHAN' SERVOUS THREAT TO LU	ALLEGED ITS F	ELF. STAFF OR OTHER IN	MATES, OR THE SE	CURE + ORDERLY R
SUFTHEINSTITUTION	SINCE MY QUEST	TON WAS IGNORED IN B. MY PRESENCE WOULD P	P.IO. LET ME RE (T POSE A SERIOUS TH	ARATE . HOW EXACT
TEDI I'M SURPRISED I'N	NOT ALSO BEINGH	LD RESPONSIBLE FOR THE	THE TITANIC + THE	BISMARK SMALL
APPED LIPS FAUEN ARC	HES + WE FIRST +	FÉCOND WORLD WARS SIN	CE SAID" DECISION.	S"ARE BASED SOLE STANIATE ITS OR L
COENOLONGER NEEDE	DINTHE ADMINIS	PRATIVE REMEDY PROCE	BRICATING ALIST	VER CAN JUST HOLD SUCH AS STATED AL
ASSUMING I'LL FULFILL	SAID SPECULATION	NS" SIWOULD LIKE TO KI	NOW WHOSE IN FEA	ROFTHEIR STET
HAT "PROPERTY" DO I CO Y PROPERTY SINCE CON	ING TO PRISON IN	974 WHAT EXACTLY WILL	CONVINCE STAFFT	HAT "THREATS "TON
PETY + OF OTHERS CAN B	E ADEC VATELY ME	TIN SOME OTHER CORRECT! NT CONDITIONS IN SOLITA	RY CONFLNEMENT	THAN OTHER PRISO
NG TIME FOR MURDER	WHAT MAKES ME	O.P. ASSAULT DATA FY 198	-1986 SEE EX.A.B.	P.10 STATES, THIS IS A
NITIVE STATUS IN WHI	CH RE TRICTED CO	NDITIONS OF CONFINEMA LBLAH "YEAH, I ZMAGIN	ENT ARE KEDUIKE	T BOP USED TO JUST
BALL - CHAM FLOGG	NGS ETC. I REALL	TE PENAL MENTALITY HA	IS'NT CHARGED MUC R.H.E.I.PLESS VICTO	MS KEPT LOCKED
I'S WHEN PRISON KEEP! CAGES, NEVER THE LES	S.BE A 1913 OR	FACT B.PID STATES IN YOUR SAFETY WOULD ACT. B.P. ID STATES "YOU FLOW WAS ISHORED IN B. MY PRESENCE WOULD BE FLOW WAS ISHORED WARS SIN FLOW WORLD WARS SIN FRATIVE REMEDY PROCE INEMENT BY SIMPLY FA INSOME OTHER DY PROCE ANY MORE WHAT EXACTLY WILL TIN SOME OTHER CORRECTION ANY MORE WORSE OR BE O.P. ASSAULT DATA FY 198 AND ITIONS OF CONFINEMEN INDITIONS O	MENT, IS STILL SO	LITARY CONFINE
ANGING IT'S NAMETO	F. WAS USED AS T	UNISHMENT IN 1693-	+ JUST CUZ U SAY	IT'S NOT IN 1993 T
CHANGE A THING. SI	WCETHE SO CALLE	D"JUSTIFICATION FOR	EXACTLY DOESTHI	S READER THINK
BY TALKING + SEEING	OTHERS WAJOI	VING CELL'S PLEASE UTEL NOTHING TO DO W	HOVISE + WHEN	P.10 STATES MY CAS
L BE CAREDATE FULLY	MONITOREDIAT	THE BOPERS WHICH TIME THE BOPERS	WILL SIGNATURE OF RE	QUESTOR _SEE PG
Part B—RESPONSE 2-1	7-93		Gromas E.S.	in erster.
,	l le			
	- 1			
		11-1		1-/
			OSARON	
\ \ \		The hear		
		Cack 5	1	
· /				
	.			
	2			*
		ŧ		(10)
7				
DATE			GENERAL COU	INSEL 11 11
ORIGINAL: RETURN TO IN	_{IMATE}		CASE NUMBER: .	39514-11
Part C—RECEIPT			CASE NUMBER: .	
D. *********				
Return to:	11.11		The second secon	- I control i
	ME, FIRST MIDDLE INITIA	IL REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION

EVALUATE MY CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT I REITER-ATE - HOW CAN THAT BE WHEN NO ONE TALKS TO ME ABOUT ANY OF ATE - 40W CAN THAT BE WHEN NO OME TALKS TO ME ABOUT ANY OF THE IN CIDENTS IVE BEEN CHARGED WITH ALL IM ASKED AT MY 6 MO. REVIEW IS IT GET FEED DAYLY. SEE THE D.A. (PHY. SICAN ASSISTANT) DAYLY, & RECIEVE 5 HRS. A WEEK RECREATION AWD ALL I'M TOLD WHEN I ASK ABOUT THIS POINT. IS THAT THEY HAVE NO JUCE IN THAT SPOT TO MAKE A DECISION SINCE IT SHOULD BE COLOR THE DECISION SINCE I SEE ATOLD WITH THE PROPERTY OF MAKE A DECISION SINCE I SHOULD HERE I'M DECISION SINCE I SEE ATOLD WITH DECISION SINCE I SEE ATOLD WITH BERS WHOM CLAIM THEIR ONLY FUNCTION IS TO SEE THAT "IO I'M FEED. SEEN BY THE PARK GET REC. FOR SAKE OF ARGUMENT, IF THEIR JUST PASSING THE BUCK, WHAT EXACTLY IS BEING" CAREFULLY MONITORED" AND WHAT TYPE OF DECISION CAN POSSIBLY BE MADE BY ASKING ME THOSE 3. QUESTIONS ALONE? B.P. IO SAKE "BOP EXECTIVE STAFF WILL CONTINUE TO REVIEW YOUR CASE ANNUALLY DETERMINE WHETHER ANY CHANGE IN YOUR HOUSING ISTO OCCUR. AGAIN, WHAT EXACTLY DO THEY BASE SAID" DETERMINATION "ON OR MY THE PAST IO "EXACT Y DO THEY BASE SAID" DETERMINATION TO THE WARDEN. A.W. CASTAIN, REGION AL DIRECTOR, UNIT MANAGER, COWNELL ON THE TOP THEY BE AND SENDED TO PROPERTY OF THE THE OTHER STAFF WHO ATTEND MY REVIEW'S Y ITS BEEN A UNANIMOUS CONSENSUS THAT SAID "DETERMINATION" ON THE YBAST IO THE WARDEN'S THE SAID "DETERMINATION" ON THE PAST IO THE BASE SAID" DETERMINATION "ON THE PAST IO THE BASE SAID" DETERMINATION" ON THE PAST IO THEY BASE OR ARE THEY JUST STALLING FOR REASON'S OF THEIR OWN. AT MY EXPENSE TO OR. ARE THEY JUST STALLING FOR REASON'S OF THEIR OWN. AT MY EXPENSE TO REATHER SAID STAFF WHO ATTEND HE WAIT SAID THE WAY WHAT ELSE DO THEY BASE OR THEY YOUS SATURE SAIDS TO SEE IF I BREAK ANY RULES SO THEY ILL HAVE AN EXCLUSE TO CONTINUE TO A SETTING ON THE BEST ON THE BEST ON THE BEST OF THE RESAIDS ON THE SAIDS TO SEE IF I BREAK ANY RULES SO THEY I'L HAVE AN EXCLUSE TO CONTINUE TO A SETTING THE QUESTION'S THAT CONTINUE TO HAVE THEY YOU WANT BE ASKED TO PAST WHEN WILL THEY LET YOU OUT THEY SAID THE FOR THE PAST ONE SAID THE PAST ONE SAID THEY THE INCIDENTS I'VE BEEN CHARGED WITH. ALL I'M ASKED WHICH IS ABSOLUTELY NOTHING! IF THIS IS SOME SICK GAME THEY RE
PLAYING LIMITUM. I'D PPRECIATE IT IF YOU JUST TELL ME THIS IS HOW YOU
FOLKS GET YOUR JOLLIES & I'LL JUST REST MY NECK FROM NOW ON. BUT
IF THIS ADMINISTRATION REMEDY PROCESS ACTUALLY MEANS WHAT ITS IF THIS ADMINISTRATION REMEDY PROCESS ACTUALLY MEANS WHAT ITS
SUPPOSED TO THEM I HAVE SOME LEGITIS ATE GRIEVANCE + WOULD
LIKE MY QUESTION'S ADDRESSED, THAN IGNORED + MORE SPECIFICES, THAN THE STANDARD PARTY LINE RESPONSE THAT IS EVIDENT IN EACH
APPEAL FILED SO FIR. B. P. IOSTATES, "WE CAN FIND NO NEED FOR FURTHER RELIEF, THERE FORE. YOUR REG. APPEAL IS DENIED." IT'S OBVIOUS THAT IT DOES'NT MATTER WHAT I DO OR SAY, AS THIS APPEAL REFLECTS
THUS FAR. MY NUMEROUS ARE NOT ANSWERD. NOR HAS THIS GOVERNING BOUYNELIED UPON ANY NEW EVIDENCE TO CONTINUE MY CURRENT STATUS. ALL THEY DO IS RELIE ON INCIDENTS THAT OCCURED A DECADE AGO, +
HAVE'NT EVEN BOTHERED TO CONSIDER WHAT ALL TRANSPIRED BEFORE OR
AFTER SAID "INCIDENTS" OCCURED. I PRESENT AN ART ICLE ENTITLED" BLUERRINT FOR CONTROL UNITS-THIRTY YEARS OLD, TAKEN FROM THE
NOV-DEC. 1992 P.N. SEWHICH WILL UN-COVER THE REAL TRUTH + PURPOSE BEHIND THE TREATMENT (A.K.A."S. H.U.") THAT I'M BEING SUBJECTED

TO. I'VE BEEN A VICTOM + NO MORE THAN A HUMAN SPECIMAN/GUINEAPE
IN DR. SCHEIN DIABOLICAL EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM SINCE, AS THE
RECORD REFLACTS, I'VE BEEN MADE TO ENDURE ALMOST ALL POINTS LISTED AS RECORD REFLACES, I'VE BEEN MADE TO ENDURE ALMOST ALL POINTS LISTED AS EXAMPLES IN SAID "EXPERIMENTS" FOR THE PAST 10 YRS. & LONGER - BUT IN-STEAD OF ITTURNING ME INTO SOME KIND OF MINDLESS VEGETABLE PAGE- 2 * QUESTIONS ** TO DO TO *** PLEASE SCEENHIBIT B-ENCLOSED

WHO IS AUTOMATICALLY SUPPOSE TO REACT AS DOCTOR SCHEIN PREDICTS AFTER SAID BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION "TORTURE IS APPLIED TO HOPEFULLY DESTROYED ALL OF A PRISONER'S MIND, SPIRIT & WILL POWER I'M HERE TO SAY AS A VICTOM OF SAID EXPERIMENTATION THAT WH AT IT'S DONE IS INFLICK UNNECESSARY PAIN & MISERY! NOT ONLY ON MYSELF, BUT ON MY FRIENDS & FAMILY WHO HAVE HAD TO SET BACK MYSELF, BUT ON MY FRIENDS & FAMILY WHO HAVE HAD TO SET BACK HELPLESSLY & WATCH THIS SADISTIC EXPERIMENT - TREATMENT - PLAY IT SOOF OUT LEAR AFTER YEAR AFTER YEAR AFTER YEAR FILE YEAR AFTER YEAR FILE OUT DR. SCHEIN GAME PLAN MAY LOOK GOOD ON PAPER TO THE LIKE MINDED WHO BELIEVE JUST BECAUSE PEOPLE ARE IN PRISON THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS JUSTIFIED TO PLAY WITH PEOPLES MINDS & LIFE & SCRAMBLE PRISONERS BRAINS & BELIEFE ANYWAY THEY SEE FIT. ALL IN THE NAME OF DOING WHAT THE GOVERN MENT AGENTS FEEL IS BEST. WHO MADE THEM "GOD" & WHO SAY'S THEIR WAY STHE ONLY AND BEST WAY SI WAS ALWAYS MADE TO BELIEVE AMERICA WAS THE LAND, OF THE FREE WHERE PEOPLES INDIVISUALITY RULE. AND PRISON IS WHERE PEOPLE COME TO SERVE TIME FOR ANY LAW'S THAT THEIR LLEGED TO HAVE BROKEN. NOT A HOUSE OF HORRORS WHERE AMERICAN SITIZENS ARE SENT TO BE TOATURED UNTIL THEY AGREE TO GOOSE-STEP WITH THEIR TORMENTORS! DR. SCHEIN MAY OF BEEN A BIG MIND CONTROL! DICTATOR SHIP FORT URING PEOPLE FOR THEIR OWN *GOOD + THE GOVER A MEN'S. BUT, IN PRACTICE, AS WAS THE CASE WITH DR. FRANKINSEIN, WHAT DR. SCHEIN PERCEIVES TO BE A BREAK THRU IN PEN OLOGY!

OLOGY! THE GOTOS THE STATUS BRAIN STORM SINCE ICE CREAM HAS OBVIOUSLY OLOGY. BACK FIRED + HAS NO BUSINESS IN 1993 NINCE ICE CREAM HAS OBVIOUSLY SOME MAD & SADISTIC DOCTOR'S EXPERIMENT FOR THE BOP - UNDER THE PLOY

OF "SECURITY" & "BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION" IN OTHER WORDS, THEY

MENTALLY TORTURE YOU IN SOPHISTICATED WAYS UNTIL THEY CAN MAKE

A PRISONER (ME) BEG FOR MERCY, CRY "UNCLE" & BREAK A MAN'S WILL

+ MIND UNDER INTENSE PRESSURE IN ORDER TO SATISFY BOP'S DESIRED

PURPOSE. WHATEVER THAT MAY BE & HOWEVER. I HATE TO BUST BOP'S

+ DR. SCHEIN'S DIABOLICAL BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION "BUBBLE BUT I SHOULD HORE-REQUEST THAT MY EXTENSIVE CLEAN CONDUCT RECORD ALL THESE
YEAR'S SHOULD BE ALL THAT'S NEEDED TO DEMONSTRATE MY SINCERITY WHEN I SAY IT'S NOT MY INTENTIONS TO CAUSE ANY THREATS TO THE SAFETY
OF MY PEER'S IN CHAINS. STAFF. PROPERTY OR THE SECURITY OR ORDERLY
RUNNING OF THE INSTITUTION. AFTER IOYR'S IT'S OBVIOUS, ATLEAST TO THOSE
WHO DON'T HAVE ANY AXES TO GRIND, THAT BEING HELD IN THE RIDICULOUSLY EX
TREME RESTRICTED CONDITIONS THAT I'AM + AS LONG AS I HAVE BEEN, THAT THIS IS IN FACT VERY MUCH "PUNITIVE" + IF IT'S NOT DAWNED ON THE ROWER
THAT BE THAT IT'S NOT MY INTENTIONS TO CAUSE ANY TROUBLE BY NOW THEN CHA THAT BE THAT IT'S NOT MY INTENTIONS TO CAUSE ANY TROUBLE BY NOW, THEN CHA NCE'S ARE THEY'LL CONTINUE TO TURN A DEAF EAR, NOR BE RECEPTIVE TO IT BE -CAUSE APPARENTLY THEY HAVE A MORE OF THE SAME REVEN & GE FUL AGENDA CAUSE APPARENTLY THEY HAVE A MORE OF THE SAME REVENINGEFUL AGENDA IN MIND. NEVER THE LESS. FOR THE RECORD - I REQUEST FURTHER CONSIDER ATION BE GRANTED TO MY REQUEST FOR RELEASE FROM SOLITARY CONFINEMENT + IF NOT, THEN I'D APPRECIATE ANSWERS TO THE ENCLOSED QUESTIONS THAT'S IF YOU EVEN BOTHER TO READ THE PRISONER'S PORTION OF THE APPEAL, SINCE MOST RESPONSES APPEAR TO BE RUBBER, STAMPED OF WHATEVER THE PART B" PAGE HAS TO SAY : ALSO FOR THE RECORD, I KNOW BOP IS PROUD OF THEIR LITTLE SADISTIC SHOW PIECE AT U.S.P. MARION, IL. + MY SPECIALIZED CEMENT COFFIN, THOUD EM UP AS SHINNING EXAMPLES TO TRY + JUSTIFY THEIR FUNCTIONS AS BEINGTH. HOLD EM UP AS SHINNING EXAMPLES TO TRY + JUSTIFY THEIR FUNCTIONS AS BEING THE ALL TIME DETERRENT OF CRIME IN OTHER PRISONS, THAT SUPPOSEDLY IS KEEPING OTHER PRISONER'S IN LINE. INCASE THIS TYPE OF MISGUIDED LOGIC HAPPEN'S TO BE PART OF THE REASONING FOR KEEPING ME WHERE I'AM _ I'D LIKE TO DRAW YOUR ATTENT ION BACK TO EXHIBIT A THAT SHOW'S ACCORDING TO B.O.P. 'S OWN "A SSULT DATA". THAT ASSAULTS HAVE INCREASED SINCE I + MARION WERE LOCKED DOWN IN 1983. IT APPEAR'S ANOTHER BOP DIABOLICAL BRAIN CHILD HAS GONE AWRY. BECAUSE BOPSOVER PAID EXECTIVE STAFF CONTINUE TO CREATE VIOLENT IN VIREMENTS! CONTRARY TO DR. SCHEIN + COMPANY & BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION "ATTACKS ON USUALLY DECENT HUMAN BEING'S WHOSE ONLY CRIME WAS COMING TO PRISON FOR ALLEGELY. BREAKING THE LAW ONLY SERVES TO MAKE IT'S VICTOM'S WORSE, NOT, I REPERING

PARTA - REASON FOR APPEAL # 39514 (* + BOP)

NOT. BETTER! SO DR. SCHEIN NEED'S TO RETHINK THEIR
DIABOLICAL GAME PLAN'S Y SPECIAL HOUSING UNIT'S ETC. 45 TOP
JUST ASSUMING PEOPLE ARE 'NT ABLE TO REHABILITATE DUR SELVES
WITHOUT HAVING TO BE TORTURED IN TO IT FIRST! OR, Y. THAT PEOPLE
CAN'T BE REHABIZITATED JUST BECAUSE WE DON'T RESPOND WELL
TO TORTUROUS AT ACKS FROM THE POWER'S THAT BE, IN THE MANNER
INWHICH DR. SCHEIN Y OTHER ALIKE MINDED PENOLOGIST PRESUME
WILL OCCURE WHEN ENUF SERIOUS "SECURITY" IS STUCK TO US THAT HAS
SINCE REPLACED THE BALL + CHAIN'S + WHIPPING POST. WHAT THEY
NEED TO DO IS PUT ALL THAT MID-EVIL WAY OF THINK ING ASIDE, CUZ IT
DID'NTWORK THEN + IT SURE DOES'NT WORK NOW. THE "POPULAT.
ION STATISTICS" COLUMNOW EXHIBIT A "SHOW'S THAT IT'S INCREASED, NOT
DECREASED. SO THEY NEED TO WORK ON WAY'S THAT HELP PEOPLE—BETTER
OUR SELVES, INSTEAD OF CREATING NEW + INPROVED WAYS TO

Thomas & Silverstein

DATE 2/17/93

	EXHIBI	7-4	FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS ASSAULT DATA FY 1980 - 1986	OF PRISONS ATA 1986		
-	POPULATIO	ION STATISTICS		ASSAULT STATISTICS	TATISTICS	
	YEAR	TOTAL SENTENCED AND UNSENTENCED	INMATE ON INMATE WITH WEAPON	INMATE ON INMATE WITHOUT WEAPON	INMATE ON STAFF WITE WEAPON	INMATE ON STAFF WITHOUT
	1980	24,252	211	176	42	263
	1981	26,195	251	111	69	224
	1982	28,133	259	130	40	241
	1983	30,214	229	124	43	183
	1984	32,317	199	1.59	51	260
	1985	36,042	192	117	39	167
	1986	41,861	227	147	31	200

Information provided on assaults should be used cautiously due to changes in reporting procedures and definitions over time. NOTE:

Assaults statistics came from Correctional Services Reports, and is currently being used by the Office of Research and Evaluation for a series of reports regarding assaults. Population statistics are taken from the Key Indicators Strategic Support System (KI/SSS). SOURCES

ADMIN. REM. No. 39514-A1 PAGE 2 B.P.11

You request release from special housing status for a multitude of reasons.

You were informed that your continued placement in special housing status is because staff believe that you are a serious threat to staff, other inmates, and to the security and orderly running of BOP facilities. Specifically, during your confinement, you have been convicted of murdering both staff and inmates. Your housing status is considered non-punitive. Rather, BOP Executive Staff determined that you require restricted conditions of confinement to ensure the safety of staff and inmates and the security and orderly running of BOP institutions. A substantial period of favorable institution adjustment is expected prior to considering your for a change in housing status due to the extreme nature of the circumstances surrounding your placement in such a status. Executive staff reviews your status annually. In addition, two times a year, you have a thorough review which includes the presence of a representative from the regional office, the Warden, Associate Wardens, Captain, SHU Lieutenant, Psychologist, and your case manager. You have a review scheduled in July 1993. We find no basis for any further relief.

5/18/97

DATE

JOHN L. MEGATHLIN, Administrator National Inmate Appeals