

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. Additional instructions on reverse.

From: SILVERSTEIN, THOMAS E. 14634-116 F FLORENCE
LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

Part A- INMATE REQUEST ON 10-11-13 FRI. BETWEEN 12:30-1:30 P.M. I WAS UNCUFFED IN THE B-UPPER LAW LIBRARY. TWO C.O.'S (MR. PERKINS + MR. C. KELLER) BROUGHT A CUFFED, FROM BEHIND. DC PRISONER (MOHAMMAD) FROM C-LOWER TO MY LAW LIBRARY DOOR. AFTER A FEW SECONDS PAST, THE DOOR OPENED. ALL 3 PERSONS STOOD THERE SEVERAL SECONDS. THEN THE DOOR SLOWLY CLOSED AS IT HAD OPENED. THE 3 MEN THEN EXITED THE TIER. AS I REMAINED SEATED AT THE COMPUTER. THE SAME TWO OFFICERS HAD ESCORTED ME TO THE LAW LIBRARY 30 MINUTES EARLIER. SO THEY KNEW, ALONG WITH THE BUBBLE GUARD OPERATING THE ELECTRONIC DOOR, THAT I WAS PRESENT. THEY ALSO SAW ME CLEARLY BEFORE THE DOOR SLOWLY OPENED + HEARD MOHAMMAD ASK ME THROUGH THE WINDOW + TALK SLOT. WHAT WAS I DOING IN THERE. BUT MADE NO ATTEMPT TO STOP IT FROM OPENING. I REITERATE WHAT RESOLUTION I EXPECT ON MY B.P.S. - THANK U!

10-31-13
DATE

Thomas E. Silverstein
SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER

Part B- RESPONSE

8/29/18

R. This is an example of how they set us up here n this mad house. NOV 04 2013

please post. Thank u!

your pat.

Tommy

DATE

WARDEN OR REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director. Your appeal must be received in the Regional Office within 20 calendar days of the date of this response.

ORIGINAL: RETURN TO INMATE

CASE NUMBER: 757951-F1

CASE NUMBER: (1)

Part C- RECEIPT

Return to: _____
LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

SUBJECT: _____

DATE

RECIPIENT'S SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)

BP-229 Response

Case Number: 757951-F1

Your Request for Administrative Remedy dated October 31, 2013, and received in this office November 4, 2013, has been reviewed. You allege staff misconduct. Specifically, you allege on October 11, 2013, while you were in the unit law library, correctional staff escorted another inmate to the law library. The staff members opened the range door and you were exposed to another inmate. You request an investigation.

A review of the issue raised in your Request for Administrative Remedy has been conducted. The results of the review revealed your allegation regarding staff misconduct is taken seriously, and has been referred to the appropriate office for further review.

Accordingly, this response to your Request for Administrative Remedy is for informational purposes only. In the event you are not satisfied with this response and wish to appeal, you may do so within 20 calendar days of this response by submitting a BP-230(13) to the Regional Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons, North Central Regional Office, Gateway Complex, Tower II, 8th Floor, 400 State Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101-2492.



D. Berkebile, Warden

12-2-13
Date

Subject: Information Preservation/Thomas Silverstein, Reg. No. 14634-116
Date: Wednesday, November 6, 2013 6:46:47 AM Mountain Standard Time
From: Rovner, Laura
To: 'Chris Synsvoll'
CC: Villasenor, Juan (USACO), Cook, Marcy (USACO)

Dear all,

We write to request that all records relating to the incident involving Thomas Silverstein, Reg. No. 14634-116, on or about October 11, 2013, be preserved indefinitely. That specific request includes but is not limited to videorecordings from range cameras of the incident that day during which BOP staff escorted Mr. Silverstein to the law library on his unit and range, and subsequently escorted another prisoner (believed to be Eugene Hathaway, 01490-007) from a different range to the same law library. The request also includes all BOP reports and other communications relating to that incident and its aftermath; any investigation(s) into the incident conducted by the BOP, including but not limited to any official investigation(s) conducted pursuant to PS 3420.09 "Standards of Employee Conduct"; and all other communications and records of any kind concerning or reflecting interactions with or conduct involving Mr. Silverstein and/or the other prisoner on or about October 11, 2013. We understand that both Mr. Silverstein and the other prisoner were escorted by the same two correctional officers (Officer Perkins and Officer Keller, as well as a third officer who was in the "bubble" during both escorts) and that there may have been interactions between one or more of these officers and Mr. Silverstein subsequent to the incident. Our request also specifically includes all views from all range cameras in both Mr. Silverstein's unit and the other prisoner's unit for the entirety of October 11, 14 and 15, so that records of all interactions involving those (or other) staff members and Mr. Silverstein will be preserved. In short, we request that all information relating to the incident be preserved.

Please acknowledge your receipt of this request and confirm that the information in question -- including particularly the electronic data that is at risk of being overwritten -- has been pulled and preserved.

Thank you,

Laura Rovner
Ronald V. Yegge Clinical Director & Associate Professor of Law
University of Denver Sturm College of Law
2255 E. Evans Ave.
Denver, CO 80208
303.871.6140
lrovner@law.du.edu

3

Federal Bureau of Prisons

SILVERSTEIN

12/12/13

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. One copy of the completed BP-229(13) including any attachments must be submitted with this appeal.

From: SILVERSTEIN, THOMAS E. 14634-116 F FLORENCE
 LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

Part A - REASON FOR APPEAL THE WARDEN STATES IN HIS B.P. 9 RESPONSE: "THE RESULTS OF THE REVIEW REVEALED YOUR ALLEGATION REGARDING STAFF MISCONDUCT IS TAKEN SERIOUSLY. + HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE APPROPRIATE OFFICE FOR FURTHER REVIEW." WHAT EXACTLY DOES THIS MEAN? THIS OBSCURE RESPONSE FAILS TO ADDRESS STATED RESOLUTIONS EXPECTED IN MY B.P. 9 (12). AN INVESTIGATION TO VERIFY THIS OCCURED. (NOT THE TYPICAL ADX "REVIEW" COVER-UP) CAMERA SURVEILLANCE + CELL DOOR RECORDS, WHO ORDERED THIS SET UP? THAT SAID "INCIDENT" BE DOCUMENTED IN MY C-FILE + CONSIDERED IN FUTURE REVIEWS FOR THE STEP DOWN PROGRAM. NOT SET UP AGAIN. SO BOP HAS AN EXCUSE TO KEEP ME ISOLATED. SINCE THEY'RE OUT OF LEGIT REASONS FOR NOT LETTING ME OUT OF ADX, NOR RETALIATED AGAINST + STOP THE OFFICIAL VENDETTA REVEALED TO AUTHOR, MR. PETE EARLEY, DURING HIS INTERVIEW WITH A BOP TOP OFFICIAL IN HIS BOOK: THE HOT HOUSE CHAPTER 27 PAGES 226-7


WHEN AN INMATE KILLS A GUARD, HE MUST BE PUNISHED.... WE CAN'T EXECUTE SILVERSTEIN. SO WE HAVE NO CHOICE BUT TO MAKE HIS LIFE A LIVING HELL. OTHERWISE OTHER INMATES WILL KILL GUARDS TOO. THERE HAS TO BE SOME SUPREME PUNISHMENT. EVERY CONVICT KNOWS WHAT SILVERSTEIN IS GOING THROUGH. WE WANT THEM TO REALIZE THAT IF THEY CROSS THE SAME LINE THAT HE DID, THEY WILL PAY A HEAVY PRICE. THIS OFFICIAL BOP THREAT WAS MADE IN 1989 + CONTINUES TODAY! IF MY ALLEGATION WAS TAKEN "SERIOUSLY" WHY DID THE SAME THREE GUARDS REMAIN IN F-UNIT AFTER THE FACT, UNTIL THE RECENT SCHEDULED QUARTE CHARGES INSTEAD OF REASSIGNED, OR ME MOVED TO ANOTHER UNIT DURING THE SHAM "REVIEW" PROCESS. THE ONLY THING TAKEN "SERIOUSLY" APPARENTLY IS: THE USUAL ADMINISTRATIONS COVER-UP + DENIABILITY OF LIABILITY ON BEHALF OF BOP CRONIES, WHO ARE ABOVE APPROACH IN THE WARDENS EYES.

12-16-13

DATE

THOMAS E. SILVERSTEIN
 SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER

Part B - RESPONSE



DATE

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the General Counsel. Your appeal must be received in the General Counsel's Office within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

ORIGINAL: RETURN TO INMATE

CASE NUMBER: 757951-R1

Part C - RECEIPT

CASE NUMBER: 4

Return to:

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL

REG. NO.

UNIT

INSTITUTION

SUBJECT:

DATE

SIGNATURE, RECIPIENT OF REGIONAL APPEAL

U.S. Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Prisons
North Central Regional Office

Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal
Part B - Response

Administrative Remedy Number: 757951-R1

This is in response to your Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal received in this office on December 23, 2013, in which you allege staff misconduct. Specifically, you allege on October 11, 2013, while you were in the unit law library, staff escorted another inmate to the law library and opened the range door, exposing you to the other inmate. For relief, you request an investigation be conducted into this incident.

A review of the information presented in your Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal has been completed. The Bureau of Prisons takes allegations of staff misconduct seriously. Your allegations of staff misconduct have been referred to the appropriate Bureau component for review.

Based on the above information, this response to your Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal is for informational purposes only.

If you are dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Office of General Counsel, Federal Bureau of Prisons, 320 First Street, NW, Washington, DC 20534. Your appeal must be received in the Office of General Counsel within 30 days from the date of this response.

1-17-14
Date


Paul M. Laird, Regional Director

5